



THE ROLE OF WEAK COMMUTATIVITY IN BOOLEAN LIKE NEAR-RING

Radha, D¹ and Manicka Raja Lakshmi, B².

^[1]Assistant Professor of Mathematics

^[2]II M.Sc Mathematics

A.P.C Mahalaxmi College for Women

Thoothukudi. TamilNadu. India

Corresponding author: radharavimaths@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The concepts of Boolean like near-ring and Special Boolean like near-ring are introduced by Clay, James.R and Lawver, Donald, A., during 1969. In this paper, we have discussed the concept of weak commutative property in a Boolean like near-ring. If R is a weak commutative near ring, $(ab)^n = a^n b^n$ for all a, b in R and for $n \leq 1$ and $(a-a^2)(b-b^2)c = 0$ for every a, b, c in a Boolean like near-ring. Also, it is proved that, every near-ring is reduced if it has Weak Commutativity and also satisfies $(*, \text{IFP})$ property. If R be a weak commutative Boolean Like near-ring and S be a commutative subset with multiplicatively closed. Then we define a relation N on $R \times S$ by $(r_1, s_1) \sim (r_2, s_1)$ if there exists an element $s \in S$ such that $s(r_1 s_2 - r_2 s_1) = 0$. Then N is an equivalence relation. And also define binary operation $+$ an on $S^{-1}R$ as,

$$\frac{r_1}{s_2} + \frac{r_2}{s_2} = \frac{r_1 s_2 + r_2 s_1}{s_1 s_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{r_1}{s_2} \cdot \frac{r_2}{s_2} = \frac{r_1 r_2}{s_1 s_2}$$
 Then $S^{-1}R$ is a commutative Boolean Like near-ring with identity and also a Weak Commutative near-ring.

Keywords: Near-Rings, Weak Commutative, Reduced, Boolean Like Near-Ring, R -Subgroup, IFP, $(*, \text{IFP})$, strong IFP.

PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1

A non-empty set R with two binary operations " $+$ " (addition) and " \cdot " (multiplication) is satisfying the following axioms is called a *right near-ring*

- (i) $(R, +)$ is a (R, \cdot) is a semi group.
- (ii) For all x, y, z , in R , $(x + y) \cdot z = x \cdot z + y \cdot z$ (right distributive law)
- (iii) group (not necessarily abelian).

Definition 2.2

A near ring R is called a *zero-symmetric* if $ab = 0$ implies $ba = 0$, where $a, b \in R$.

Note 2.3

In a right near-ring R , $0 \cdot a = 0 \forall a \in R$.

If $(R, +)$ is an abelian group, then $(R, +, \cdot)$ is called a semi-ring.

Definition 2.4

A near-ring R is subset H if R such that

- (i) $(H, +)$ is a subgroup of $(R, +)$
- (ii) $RH \subseteq H$
- (iii) $HR \subseteq H$

If H satisfies (i) and (ii) then it is called left *R-subgroup of R*. If H satisfies (i) and (iii) then H is called a right *R-subgroup of R*.

Definition 2.5

A near-ring R is said to *reduced* if R has no non-zero nilpotent elements.

Definition 2.6

Let R be a near-ring. R is said to satisfy *intersection of factors property* (IFP) if $ab = 0 \Rightarrow anb = 0$ for all $n \in R$, where $a, b \in R$.

Definition 2.7

R is said to have strong IFP, if for all ideals I of R , $ab \in I \Rightarrow anb \in I$ for all $n \in R$

Definition 2.8

A near-ring R is said to be *regular* near-ring if for every a in R there exists x in R such that $a = axa$.

Definition 2.9

A right near ring R is said to be *Weak commutative* if $xyz = xzy \forall x, y, z \in R$

Definition 2.10

A right near-ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ is called a *Boolean-like near ring* if

- (i) $2a = 0 \forall a \in R$ and
- (ii) $(a + b - ab) = ab \forall a, b \in R$

THE ROLE OF WEAK COMMUTATIVITY IN BOOLEAN LIKE NEAR-RING**Lemma 3.1**

Let R be a weak commutative near-ring R . Then $(ab)^n = a^n b^n \forall a, b \in R$ and $\forall n \geq 1$

Proof:

Let $a, b \in R$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } (ab)^2 &= (ab)(ab) = (aba)b \\ &= (aab) \quad (R \text{ is weak commutative}) \\ &= a^2b^2 \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $(ab) = a^m b^m$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{No } (ab)^{+1} &= (ab)^m ab \\ &= a^m b^m ab = (a^m b^m a)b \\ &= (a^m) \quad (R \text{ is weak commutative}) \\ &= a^{m+1} b^{m+1} \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(ab) = a^m b^m \forall a, b \in R$ and for all integer $m \geq 1$

Lemma 3.2

Let R be a weak commutative Boolean like near-ring. Then $a^2b + ab^2 = ab + (ab)^2 \forall a, b \in R$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} a^2b + ab^2 &= aab + abb \\ &= aba + abb \\ &= ab(a + b) \\ &= ab(a + b - ab + ab) \\ &= (a + b - ab) + (ab)^2 \\ &= ab + (ab)^2 \quad (R \text{ is Boolean like near-ring}) \end{aligned}$$

$$a^2b + ab^2 = ab + (ab)^2 \forall a, b \in R.$$

Lemma 3.3

In a weak commutative Boolean like near-ring $(R, +, \cdot)$

Then $(a + a^2)(b + b^2)c = 0 \forall a, b, c \in R$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} (a + a^2)(b + b^2)c &= \{ a(b + b^2) + a^2(b + b^2) \}c \\ &= a(b + b^2) + a^2(b + b^2)c \\ &= ac(b + b^2) + a^2(b + b^2) \} \quad (R \text{ is weak commutative}) \\ &= c\{ a(b + b^2) + a^2(b + b^2) \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= c\{ab + ab^2 + a^2b + a^2b^2\} \\
 &= c\{ab + ab + (ab)^2 + a^2b^2\} \text{ (using Lemma 3.2)} \\
 &= c\{2ab + 2a^2b^2\} \\
 &= 0 \quad (R \text{ is Boolean like near-ring})
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.4

In a weak commutative Boolean like near-ring R ,

$$(a - a^2)(b - b^2)c = 0 \quad \forall a, b, c \in R$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a - a^2)(b - b^2)c &= \{(b - b^2) - a^2(b - b^2)\}c \\
 &= \{(b - b^2) - a^2(b - b^2)c\} \\
 &= \{(b - b^2) - a^2(b - b^2)\} \text{ (} R \text{ is weak commutative)} \\
 &= \{(b - b^2) - a^2(b - b^2)\} \\
 &= \{ab - ab^2 - a^2b + a^2b^2\} \\
 &= \{ab - ab - (ab)^2 + a^2b^2\} \text{ (using Lemma 3.2)} \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence proved.

Lemma 3.5

Let R be a weak commutative Boolean like near-ring. Let S be a commutative subset of R which is multiplicatively closed. Define a relation N on $R \times S$ by $(r_1, s_1) \sim (r_2, s_2)$ iff there exists an element $s \in S$

Proof:

(i) Let $(r, s) \in R \times S$

Since $rs - rs = 0$

$\Rightarrow (rs - rs) = 0$ for all $t \in S$

Hence ' \sim ' is reflexive.

(ii) Let $(r_1, s_1) \sim (r_2, s_2)$

Then there exists an element $s \in S$ such that

$$(r_1s_2 - r_2s_1) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (r_2s_1 - r_1s_2) = 0$$

such that $s(r_1s_2 - r_2s_1) = 0$. Then N is an equivalence relation.

Hence ' \sim ' is symmetric.

(iii) Let $(r_1, s_1) \sim (r_2, s_2)$ and $(r_2, s_2) \sim (r_3, s_3)$

Then there exists $p, q \in S$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (r_1s_2 - r_2s_1) = 0 \text{ and } (r_2s_3 - r_3s_2) = 0 \\
 \Rightarrow ps_3(r_1s_2 - r_2s_1) = 0 = qs_1(r_2s_3 - r_3s_2) \\
 \Rightarrow (r_1s_2 - r_2s_1)_3 = 0 = (r_2s_3 - r_3s_2)_{s_1} \text{ (R- weak commutative)} \\
 \Rightarrow (r_1s_2 - r_2s_1)_3 = 0 = pq(r_2s_3 - r_3s_2)_{s_1} \\
 \Rightarrow (r_1s_2s_3 - r_2s_1s_3) = 0 = p(r_2s_3s_1 - r_3s_2s_1) \\
 \Rightarrow pq (r_1s_2s_3 - r_2s_1s_3 + r_2s_3s_1 - r_3s_2s_1) = 0 \\
 \Rightarrow (r_1s_3s_2 - r_2s_1s_3 + r_2s_1s_3 - r_3s_1s_2) = 0 \quad (S \text{ is commutative)} \\
 \Rightarrow (r_1s_3s_2 - r_3s_1s_2) = 0 \\
 \Rightarrow (r_1s_3 - r_3s_1)_2 = 0 \\
 \Rightarrow pq s_2(r_1s_3 - r_3s_1) = 0 \quad (R \text{ is weak commutative)} \\
 \Rightarrow (r_1s_3 - r_3s_1) = 0 \quad \text{where } r = pq \text{ } s_2 \in S \\
 \Rightarrow (r_1, s_1) \sim (r_3, s_3)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence ' \sim ' is transitive.

Hence the lemma.

Theorem 3.6

Let R be a weak commutative Boolean like near -ring. Let S be a commutative subset of R which is also multiplicatively closed. Define binary operation ' $+$ ' and on $s^{-1}R$ as follows.

$\frac{r_1}{s_1} + \frac{r_2}{s_2} = \frac{r_1s_2+r_2s_1}{s_1s_2}$ and $\frac{r_1}{s_1} \cdot \frac{r_2}{s_2} = \frac{r_1r_2}{s_1s_2}$. Then $s^{-1}R$ is a commutative Boolean like near- ring with identity.

Proof:

Let us first prove that ' $+$ ' and ' \cdot ' are well-defined.

Let $\frac{r_1}{s_1} = \frac{r_1^f}{s_1^f}$ and $\frac{r_2}{s_2} = \frac{r_2^f}{s_2^f}$ then there exists $t_1, t_2 \in S$ such that

$$(r_1s'_1 - r_1s'_1) = 0 \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

$$\text{and } t(r_2s'_2 - r_2s'_2) = 0 \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Now, } t_1t_2[(r_1s_2 + r_2s_1) \frac{s'_1}{s'_1} - (r'_1s'_2 + r'_2s'_1) \frac{s_1}{s_1}] \\
 = t_1t_2[r_1s_2 \frac{s'_1}{s'_1} + r_2s_1 \frac{s'_1}{s'_1} - r'_1s'_2 \frac{s_1}{s_1} - r'_2s'_1 \frac{s_1}{s_1}] \\
 = t_1t_2[r_1s'_1s_2s'_1 - r'_1s_1s_2s'_1 + r_2s'_2s_1s'_1 - r'_2s_2s_1s'_1] \quad (S \text{ is commutative subset})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= t_1 t_2 [(r_1 s'_1 - r'_1 s_1) s_2 s'_2 + (r_2 s'_2 - r'_2 s_2) s_1 s'_1] \\
 &= t_1 t_2 (r_1 s'_1 - r'_1 s_1) s_2 s'_2 + t_1 t_2 (r_2 s'_2 - r'_2 s_2) s_1 s'_1 \\
 &= t_1 (r_1 s'_1 - r'_1 s_1) t_2 s_2 s'_2 + t_2 (r_2 s'_2 - r'_2 s_2) t_1 s_1 s'_1 \text{ (} R \text{ is weak commutative)} \\
 &= 0. t_2 s_2 s'_2 + 0. s_1 s'_1 t_1 \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\frac{r_1 s_2 + r_2 s_1}{s_1 s_2} = \frac{r'_1 s'_2 + r'_2 s'_1}{s_1 s_2}$

(i.e.) $\frac{r_1}{s_1} + \frac{r_2}{s_2} = \frac{r'_1}{s'_1} + \frac{r'_2}{s'_2}$

Hence ‘ + ’ is well-defined.

From (2) We get,

$$\begin{aligned}
 t_1 t_2 (r_1 s'_1 - r'_1 s_1) r_2 s'_2 &= 0 \\
 t_1 t_2 (r_1 s'_1 r_2 - r'_1 s_1 r_2) s'_2 &= 0 \\
 t_1 t_2 (r_1 s'_1 r_2 s'_2 - r'_1 s_1 r_2 s'_2) &= 0 \\
 t_1 t_2 (r_1 r_2 s'_1 s'_2 - r'_1 r_2 s_1 s'_2) &= 0 \text{ (} S \text{ is commutative subset)} \\
 t_1 t_2 r_1 r_2 s'_1 s'_2 - t_1 t_2 r'_1 r_2 s_1 s'_2 &= 0 \dots\dots\dots(3)
 \end{aligned}$$

From (2) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 t_1 t_2 (r_2 s'_2 - r'_2 s_2) r'_1 s_1 &= 0 \\
 t_1 t_2 r'_1 s_1 (r_2 s'_2 - r'_2 s_2) &= 0 \text{ (} R \text{ is weak commutative)} \\
 t_1 t_2 (r'_1 s_1 r_2 s'_2 - r'_1 s_1 r'_2 s_2) &= 0 \\
 t_1 t_2 (r'_1 s_1 s'_2 r_2 - r'_1 s_1 s_2 r'_2) &= 0 \text{ (} S \text{ is commutative)} \\
 t_1 t_2 (r'_1 r_2 s_1 s'_2 - r'_1 r'_2 s_1 s_2) &= 0 \\
 t_1 t_2 r'_1 r_2 s_1 s'_2 - t_1 t_2 r'_1 r'_2 s_1 s_2 &= 0 \dots\dots\dots(4)
 \end{aligned}$$

(3)+(4) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 t_1 t_2 r_1 r_2 s'_1 s'_2 - t_1 t_2 r'_1 r'_2 s_1 s_2 &= 0 \\
 t_1 t_2 (r_1 r_2 s'_1 s'_2 - r'_1 r'_2 s_1 s_2) &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

This means $\frac{r_1 r_2}{s_1 s_2} = \frac{r'_1 r'_2}{s'_1 s'_2}$

Hence ‘ · ’ is well-defined.

We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{r_1}{s_1} + \frac{r_2}{s_2} &= \frac{r_1 s_2 + r_2 s_1}{s_1 s_2} = \frac{(r_1 + r_2) s}{s^2} \text{ (} \because s_1 = s_2 \text{)} \\
 &= \frac{r_1 + r_2}{s}
 \end{aligned}$$

Claim1- $(S^{-1}R,+)$ is an abelian group

$$\text{Let } \frac{r_1}{s_1}, \frac{r_2}{s_2}, \frac{r_3}{s_3} \in S^{-1}R$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r_1}{s_1} + \left(\frac{r_2}{s_2} + \frac{r_3}{s_3}\right) &= \frac{r_1}{s_1} + \left(\frac{r_2s_3+r_3s_2}{s_2s_3}\right) \\ &= \frac{r_1s_2s_3 + (r_2s_3 + r_3s_2)s_1}{s_1s_2s_3} \\ &= \frac{r_1s_2s_3 + (r_2s_3 + r_3s_2)s_1}{s_1s_2s_3} \\ &= \frac{r_1s_2s_3 + r_2s_3s_1 + r_3s_2s_1}{s_1s_2s_3} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also, } \left(\frac{r_1}{s_1} + \frac{r_2}{s_2}\right) + \frac{r_3}{s_3} &= \left(\frac{r_1s_2+r_2s_1}{s_1s_2}\right) + \frac{r_3}{s_3} \\ &= \frac{(r_1s_2 + r_2s_1)s_3 + r_3s_1s_2}{s_1s_2s_3} \\ &= \frac{r_1s_2s_3 + r_2s_3s_1 + r_3s_1s_2}{s_1s_2s_3} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{r_1}{s_1} + \left(\frac{r_2}{s_2} + \frac{r_3}{s_3}\right) = \left(\frac{r_1}{s_1} + \frac{r_2}{s_2}\right) + \frac{r_3}{s_3}$$

So '+' is associative.

For any $\frac{r}{s} \in s^{-1}R$, we have

$$\frac{r}{s} + \frac{0}{s} = \frac{r+0}{s} = \frac{r}{s}$$

$$\text{Also, } \frac{0}{s} + \frac{r}{s} = \frac{0+r}{s} = \frac{r}{s}$$

Hence $\frac{0}{s}$ is the additive identity of $\frac{r}{s} \in s^{-1}R, \forall r \in R$

Clearly '+' is commutative.

Thus $(R,+)$ is an abelian group.

Claim-2 ' \cdot ' is associative.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \frac{r_1}{s_1} \cdot \left(\frac{r_2}{s_2} \cdot \frac{r_3}{s_3}\right) &= \frac{r_1}{s_1} \cdot \left(\frac{r_2r_3}{s_2s_3}\right) = \frac{r_1(r_2r_3)}{s_1(s_2s_3)} = \frac{(r_1r_2)r_3}{(s_1s_2)s_3} \text{ (R is weak commutative)} \\ &= \left(\frac{r_1}{s_1} \cdot \frac{r_2}{s_2}\right) \cdot \frac{r_3}{s_3} \end{aligned}$$

So ‘.’ is associative.

Claim-3 ‘.’ is right distributive with respect to +.

$$\text{Let } \frac{r_1}{s_1}, \frac{r_2}{s_2}, \frac{r_3}{s_3} \in S^{-1}R$$

$$\text{Now } \left(\frac{r_1}{s_1} + \frac{r_2}{s_2} \right) \cdot \frac{r_3}{s_3} = \left(\frac{r_1 s_2 + r_2 s_1}{s_1 s_2} \right) \cdot \frac{r_3}{s_3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{r_1 s_2 r_3 + r_2 s_1 r_3}{s_1 s_2 s_3} \\ &= \frac{s_2 r_1 r_3 + s_1 r_2 r_3}{s_1 s_2 s_3} \text{ (S is commutative sub set)} \\ &= \frac{s_2 r_1 r_3}{s_1 s_2 s_3} + \frac{s_1 r_2 r_3}{s_1 s_2 s_3} \\ &= \frac{r_1 r_3}{s_1 s_3} + \frac{r_2 r_3}{s_2 s_3} \\ &= \frac{r_1}{s_1} \cdot \frac{r_3}{s_3} + \frac{r_2}{s_2} \cdot \frac{r_3}{s_3} \end{aligned}$$

Hence right- distributive law is proved.

Claim- 4 $S^{-1}R$ is a Boolean like near-ring.

It is already proved in claim-1 that $2 \binom{r}{s} = 0$ for all $r \in S^{-1}R$

Let $a = \frac{r_1}{s_1}$ and $b = \frac{r_2}{s_2}$ be any two elements of $S^{-1}R$

Let $t \in S$ be any element

By lemma (3.4) $\Rightarrow (a - a^2)(b - b^2)t = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{r_1}{s_1} - \frac{r_1^2}{s_1^2} \right) \left(\frac{r_2}{s_2} - \frac{r_2^2}{s_2^2} \right) t = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow t \left(\frac{r_1}{s_1} - \frac{r_1^2}{s_1^2} \right) \left(\frac{r_2}{s_2} - \frac{r_2^2}{s_2^2} \right) = 0 \text{ (S is commutative subset)}$$

$$\Rightarrow t \left(\frac{r_1}{s_1} \left(\frac{r_2}{s_2} - \frac{r_2^2}{s_2^2} \right) - \frac{r_1^2}{s_1^2} \left(\frac{r_2}{s_2} - \frac{r_2^2}{s_2^2} \right) \right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow t \frac{r_1}{s_1} \left(\frac{r_2}{s_2} - \frac{r_2^2}{s_2^2} \right) - t \frac{r_1^2}{s_1^2} \left(\frac{r_2}{s_2} - \frac{r_2^2}{s_2^2} \right) = 0 \text{ (R is weak commutative)}$$

$$\Rightarrow t \left(\frac{r_2}{s_2} - \frac{r_2^2}{s_2^2} \right) \frac{r_1}{s_1} - t \cdot \left(\frac{r_2}{s_2} - \frac{r_2^2}{s_2^2} \right) \frac{r_1^2}{s_1^2} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow t \left[\left(\frac{r_2}{s_2} - \frac{r_2^2}{s_2^2} \right) \frac{r_1}{s_1} - \left(\frac{r_2}{s_2} - \frac{r_2^2}{s_2^2} \right) \frac{r_1^2}{s_1^2} \right] = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow t \left[\left(\frac{r_2 s_2 - r_2^2}{s_2^2} \right) \frac{r_1}{s_1} - \left(\frac{r_2 s_2 - r_2^2}{s_2^2} \right) \frac{r_1^2}{s_1^2} \right] = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow t \left[\left(\frac{r_2 s_2 - r_2^2}{s_2^2} \right) \frac{r_1 s_1}{s_1^2} - \left(\frac{r_2 s_2 - r_2^2}{s_2^2} \right) \frac{r_1^2}{s_1^2} \right] = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow t \left[\left(\frac{r_2 s_2 r_1 s_1 - r_2^2 r_1 s_1}{s_2^2 s_1^2} \right) - \left(\frac{r_2 s_2 r_1^2 - r_2^2 r_1^2}{s_2^2 s_1^2} \right) \right] = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow t \left[\left(\frac{r_2 r_1 s_2 s_1 - r_2^2 r_1 s_1}{s_2^2 s_1^2} \right) - \left(\frac{s_2 r_2 r_1^2 - r_2^2 r_1^2}{s_2^2 s_1^2} \right) \right] = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow t \left[\frac{r_2 r_1 s_2 s_1}{s_2^2 s_1^2} - \frac{r_2^2 r_1 s_1}{s_2^2 s_1^2} - \frac{s_2 r_2 r_1^2}{s_2^2 s_1^2} + \frac{r_2^2 r_1^2}{s_2^2 s_1^2} \right] = 0 \text{ (S is commutative subset)} \\ &\Rightarrow t \left[\frac{r_2 r_1}{s_2 s_1} - \frac{r_2^2 r_1}{s_2^2 s_1} - \frac{r_2 r_1^2}{s_2 s_1^2} + \frac{r_2^2 r_1^2}{s_2^2 s_1^2} \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow t(ba - b^2 a - ba^2 - b^2 a^2) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow ba = b^2 a - ba^2 + b^2 a^2$$

$$= b^2 a - ba^2 + (ba)^2$$

(by lemma (3.1))

$$\Rightarrow ba = ba(b + a - ba)$$

Hence $S^{-1}R$ is a Boolean like near-ring.

Claim-5 Multiplication in $S^{-1}R$ is commutative.

Let $\frac{r_1}{s_1}, \frac{r_2}{s_2}$ be any two elements of $S^{-1}R$.

$$\text{Then } \frac{r_1}{s_1} \cdot \frac{r_2}{s_2} = \frac{r_1 r_2}{s_1 s_2} = \frac{r_1 r_2 s}{s_1 s_2 s} \quad \forall s \in S$$

$$= \frac{s r_1 r_2}{s_1 s_2 s} \text{ (S is commutative subset)}$$

$$= \frac{s(r_2 r_1)}{s_1 s_2 s} \text{ (R is weak commutative)}$$

$$= \frac{(r_1 r_2) s}{s_1 s_2 s} \text{ (S is commutative subset)}$$

$$= \frac{r_2}{s_2} \cdot \frac{r_1}{s_1}$$

Hence multiplication in $S^{-1}R$ is commutative.

Claim-6 Existence of multiplicative identity in $S^{-1}R$.

Let $\frac{r}{s} \in S^{-1}R$ be any element.

$$\text{Then } \frac{r}{s} \cdot \frac{s}{s} = \frac{rs}{ss} = \frac{r}{s}$$

$$\text{Then } \frac{s}{s} \cdot \frac{r}{s} = \frac{sr}{ss} = \frac{r}{s}$$

Hence $\frac{s}{s} \in S^{-1}R$ is the multiplicative identity of $S^{-1}R$

Thus $S^{-1}R$ is a commutative Boolean like near-ring with identity.

Theorem 3.7

$S^{-1}R$ is weak commutative near-ring

Proof:

Let $a = \frac{r_1}{s_1}, b = \frac{r_2}{s_2}, c = \frac{r_3}{s_3}$ be any three elements of $S^{-1}R$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } abc &= \frac{r_1}{s_1} \cdot \frac{r_2}{s_2} \cdot \frac{r_3}{s_3} = \frac{r_1 r_2 r_3}{s_1 s_2 s_3} \\ &= \frac{r_1 r_3 r_2}{s_1 s_2 s_3} \quad (\text{R is weak commutative}) \\ &= \frac{r_1 r_3 r_2}{s_1 s_3 s_2} \quad (\text{S is commutative}) \\ &= \frac{r_1}{s_1} \cdot \frac{r_2}{s_2} \cdot \frac{r_3}{s_3} \\ &= acb \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow abc = acb \forall a, b, c \in S^{-1}R$$

Hence $S^{-1}R$ is weak commutative near-ring.

Theorem 3.8

Let R be a weak commutative Boolean like near-ring. Let S be a commutative subset of R which is multiplicatively closed. Let $0 \neq s \in S$. Define a map $f_s: R \rightarrow S^{-1}R$ as $f_s(r) = \frac{rs}{s} \forall r \in R$.

Then f_s is near-ring monomorphism.

Proof:

Let $r_1, r_2 \in R$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } f_s(r_1 + r_2) &= \frac{(r_1 + r_2)s}{s} \\ &= \frac{r_1 s + r_2 s}{s} = \frac{r_1 s}{s} + \frac{r_2 s}{s} \\ &= f_s(r_1) + f_s(r_2) \\ f_s(r_1 \cdot r_2) &= \frac{(r_1 \cdot r_2)s}{s} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{r_1 r_2 s^2}{s} \text{ (} R \text{ is weak commutative)} \\
 &= \frac{r_1 s^2 r_2}{s} = \frac{r_1 s s r_2}{s} = \frac{r_1 s (r_2 s)}{s} \\
 &= \frac{r_1 s}{s} \cdot \frac{r_2 s}{s} \\
 &= f_s(r_1) \cdot f_s(r_2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Also, $f_s(r_1) = f_s(r_2)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\Rightarrow \frac{r_1 s}{s} = \frac{r_2 s}{s} \\
 &\Rightarrow \frac{r_1 s}{s} - \frac{r_2 s}{s} = 0 \\
 &\Rightarrow \frac{(r_1 - r_2)s}{s} = 0 \\
 &\Rightarrow \frac{(r_1 - r_2)}{s} = 0 \\
 &\Rightarrow \frac{r_1}{s} - \frac{r_2}{s} = 0 \\
 &\Rightarrow \frac{r_1}{s} = \frac{r_2}{s}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence f_s is monomorphism.

REFERENCE

1. Clay James R and Lawver, Donald, A (1969). The concepts of Boolean like near-ring and special Boolean like near-ring, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 12, 265-273
2. Foster A L, (1946). The theory of Boolean like rings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 59.
3. Gopalakrishnamoorthy G, Kamaraj M and Geetha S (2013). On Quasi weak commutative near-ring, *Int. Jour. of Math. Research*, 5(5):431-440.
4. Ketsela Hailu, Berhanu, Bekela, Belayneh, Zelabesm, Teshome and Venkateswarlu K (2012). Boolean like semi ring, of fractions, *Inter. Jour. of Math. Archive*, 3(4):1554-1560.
5. Ligh Steve, (1969). On Boolean near-rings, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 1:3756-379.
6. Luh J, Wang J and Chung L (1975). On Quasi Boolean rings and

- Generalized quasi Boolean rings, *Mathematica Japonica*, 20:43-47.
7. Pliz Gunder (1983). The Theory and its Applications, *Near-rings (Revised Edition)* Northern Holland,
 8. Swaminathan V (1982). Boolean Like rings, Ph.D. Dissertation, Andhra University, India,
 9. Swaminathan V (1980). On Fosters Boolean like rings, Math. Seminar Notes, *Kobe University, Japan*, 8:347-367.
 10. Subrahmanyam N V (1962). Boolean semi rings, *Math. Ann.* 148:395-401.

